



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3285-2026

Date of Decision : 16.04.2026

YOGITA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Ramneek Kaur Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent no.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of a direction upon respondents to pay a compensation of Rs.2.00 Cr. to the petitioners, with regard to the death of Sh.Vivek Kumar, as caused by an accident due to stray animal.

2. This Court vide order dated 06.04.2026, had passed the hereinafter extracted order:-

*“1. Learned State counsel and counsel for the respondent No.3 are directed to file a specific affidavit disclosing whether the Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojana, notified on 09.11.2023, covers the claim of the petitioners, specifically when they have already approached this Court.
2. List on 16.04.2026 in the urgent list, for awaiting response of the respondent-State. ”*

3. In deference to the aforesaid direction, learned counsel for respondent no.3, has filed two separate affidavits, dated 15.04.2026 and 16.04.2026, today in Court, with a copy thereof, supplied to learned counsel opposite. The same are taken on record.

4. While placing reliance upon the aforesaid affidavits, he submits that the case similar to that of the present petitioners, is covered under the notification issued on 05.09.2025, where through one time relaxation has been given to the claimant like petitioner.

5. He further submits, on instructions, that the petitioners can submit their claim via, both online and offline mode, which will be considered by the respondents-authority.

6. In view of the affidavits (*supra*), and the statement as suffered by learned counsel for respondent no.3, no further direction is required to be passed by this Court, in the instant matter. However, liberty is extended to the petitioners, to approach the authority concerned, in view of the notification (*supra*), for redressal of their grievance.

6. **Disposed of** accordingly.

April 16, 2026
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No