



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.210

CWP-10547-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2026

Anil Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the appointments of such JBT Teachers who are appointed in the districts where they have not applied for the post pursuant to advertisement dated 15.11.1999, Annexure P-5. Further, a writ of *mandamus* has been sought directing the respondents to fill up the vacancies of district Kaithal from such applicants only who have applied in the district.

2. Learned State counsel contended that merit list for the advertised posts was prepared at the State level, and the district cadres were to be allotted to the candidates only after their appointments. The petitioner was placed in the waiting list at merit position 1591 as a General category candidate, whereas 1525 posts were advertised for the category. Accordingly, he could not be selected on merit. He further submitted that out of the recommended candidates, eight had not joined service, and the candidates up to merit position 1537 were given the appointments; still the petitioner could not make the mark. Accordingly, he has no right to seek appointment. Additionally, although the petitioner has sought quashing of



appointment of JBT Teachers, none of them has been impleaded as party to the petition.

3. Considering the submissions aforementioned, this Court finds the petitioner’s claim *sans* merit. Facts aforementioned have not been disputed. It shows the petitioner could not be recommended for appointment being lower in merit which cannot be taken exception to. Further, appointment of selected candidates has been challenged without impleading them as party to the petition, which also renders the petition not maintainable.

4. Dismissed.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

24.02.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No