

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:FI111C:03104-

(214) CRM-M-6210-2026
Decided on : 26.02.2026
Uploaded on : 26.02.2026

ManishaPetitioner(s)

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner (s).
Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending her arrest in case FIR No.45 dated 07.04.2024 registered under Sections 302, 148, 149 of IPC, at Police Station City Fazilka, District Fazilka; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 03.02.2026, the following order was passed:

“Inter alia contends that the petitioner is the lady aged 29 years with clean antecedents, no culpability was found by the police qua the petitioner, but later on, the petitioner has been summoned as an additional accused by the trial Court exercising powers under Section

358 of the BNS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 319 of the Cr. P.C.), & the petitioner is willing to join trial proceedings and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance service of copy of petition; Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab causes appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Put up on 26.02.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the concerned trial Court on or before 09.02.2026, wherein, she shall be admitted to interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has caused appearance before the concerned Court, in terms of order dated 03.02.2026 earlier passed by this Court and shall continue to appear further in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 03.02.2026 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

February 26, 2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No