



CRM-M-6132-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-6132-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.04.2026

NACHATTAR SINGH

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Harsimran Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J.

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.99 dated 02.11.2025 under Sections 64, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, Sections 66(E), 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Amir Khas, District Fazilka. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.
2. Case was registered on complaint of prosecutrix 'N', who stated that she was married in the year 2022 and divorced her husband in a Panchayat in March, 2025. She was staying in her parental home in Village Dhani Chak Arniwala. She lived with her mother and her younger brother and her father had died four years ago. Nachattar Singh s/o Jagdish Singh did labour work with her maternal uncle Gurdeep Singh. He often visited her house at Village Bara Singh Wala Uttar on one pretext or other. Nachattar Singh had kept evil eye on her. In the intervening night of 31.10.2025 and 01.11.2025 at about 12:00 A.M., when she was sleeping in her room, Nachattar Singh came inside her room and had sexual intercourse with her, without her consent. He also took her nude videos and pictures and when she protested, he threatened to kill her and her family members with pistol. He told her to go with her as he



CRM-M-6132-2026

wanted to perform marriage. When she raised alarm, her mother came to her room upon which he ran away. She was admitted in Civil Hospital Jalalabad the next day. She later learned from her relatives that Nachattar Singh had uploaded her nude pictures into pictures and videos on his *Instagram* account and made them viral. Legal action was prayed for.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prosecutrix was a 23 year old married lady, in consensual relationship with the petitioner who was 31 years of age. She was habitual of filing false cases of sexual assault and one such case was got registered vide FIR No.80 dated 30.04.2022 under Section 376 IPC against one Satpal Singh wherein she resiled from the case after accepting money. The petitioner too was falsely involved. He committed no offence. His antecedents were clean and he was ready and willing to join investigation.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Gursewak Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Jalalabad, District Fazilka has been filed submitting that in her statement under Section 183 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023, prosecutrix fully supported her first version. During investigation, she produced a pen drive containing her nude videos and screenshots of nude pictures. Petitioner too could be seen in the videos and pictures with the prosecutrix. The said videos and pictures were uploaded by the petitioner on his *Instagram* account and considering the serious nature of offence, petitioner did not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. Considering the nature and substance of allegations against the petitioner who is alleged to have sexually violated the prosecutrix and made viral her pictures and videos by uploading them on social media, it is not a fit



CRM-M-6132-2026

case to enlarge petitioner on anticipatory bail. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be necessitated to elicit information regarding the *Instagram* account and the manner in which the offences were committed. Grant of anticipatory bail at this stage would interfere with investigation and would not advance the ends of justice. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is declined.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

07.04.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No