



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-2917-2026

Date of Decision: 10.02.2026

NAVEEN KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate, Ms. Komal Klana, Advocate
for respondent no.2/HPSC.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing respondent no.2 to consider the petitioner's candidature for the post of Assistant Professor (History) against advertisement 53/2024, dated 02.08.2024, for which interviews are scheduled from 12.02.2026 to 14.02.2026.

2. On the last date of hearing, 05.02.2026, learned counsel for the petitioner made the following statement:

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the prayer for consideration of the petitioner's candidature for the post of Assistant Professor (History) under BC-A category. He, however, submits that even



if the candidature under reserved category is to be rejected, he is entitled to be considered as a General category candidate.

3. Learned counsel for the Commission, on instructions, submits that the petitioner cannot be considered under General category since as per the Screening Test result the petitioner, who was allotted roll no.66535, secured 73.6842 marks as against 76.5789 of the last shortlisted candidate under General category.
4. In view thereof, there is no ground to entertain the petition, and it stands dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

10.02.2026
Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No