



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-2804-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.03.2026

Ayush Sanrakshan Social Organization

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate and
Ms. Sehej Sandhawalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

1. In the light of the complex dispute on the facts, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with liberty to the petitioner to avail of its alternate remedy available to it, as per law.
2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
3. It is clarified that while granting the afore liberty, we have neither commented on the entertainability/maintainability/merit of the remedy that the petitioner may avail of in terms of the liberty granted through this order.
4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2026*Varinder*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No