

2026:PHHC:021718-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-3344-2026

DATE OF DECISION: 12.02.2026

ROMILLA BASNET

...PETITIONER

VS.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Saurav Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavana Datta, Senior Panel Counsel,
For respondent No.1

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-10) passed by the learned Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred as 'Tribunal') vide which the family pension of the petitioner has been discontinued with immediate effect on the basis of presumption only and without issuing notice

**CWP-3344-2026****-2-**

to the petitioner as per Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as, the petitioner was not served qua the proceedings initiated before the learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.) and is even otherwise entitled to the benefit of family pension under the Rule governing the service, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a review before the Armed Forces Tribunal brining all the aspects to the knowledge of the Tribunal.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 12, 2026
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No