



258

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRM-M-5675-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.05.2026**

Anif Ali @ Hanif Ali and Others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. B.S.Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Nahar, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3

-.-

H.S.GREWAL J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of G.D No.017 dated 21.07.2025 registered under Section 115(2), 117(2), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 BNS in FIR No.165 dated 18.07.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(3), 190 and 238 of BNS at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 07.01.2026 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has referred to the compromise (Annexure P-3), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid G.D in FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 02.02.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 06.05.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and G.D No.017 dated 21.07.2025 registered under Section 115(2), 117(2), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 BNS in FIR No.165 dated 18.07.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(3), 190 and 238 of BNS at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

9. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

May 13, 2026
tripti

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes/No