

2026:PHHC:077254



142

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7105-2023

Vijay

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Date of Decision: May 18, 2026

Date of Uploading: May 18, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Cr. P.C. for quashing of the order dated 09.05.2022 (Annexure P-4), whereby, the bail bond of the petitioner is forfeited and arrest warrant has been issued against the petitioner, and further allowing the petitioner to continue to appear before the learned trial Court, in case FIR No.0180 dated 16.04.2021 (Annexure P-1), registered under Sections 21(b)/27-A/61/85 of the NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

2. On 09.02.2023, the following order was passed:

“It is submitted that the petitioner was on bail and was appearing before the trial Court on every date. On 09.05.2022 also he had gone to Court but was late. Thus, order of forfeiture of bail bonds deserves to be quashed.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent– State and waives service.

The petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court on 17.02.2023 and seek regular bail. In case such an application is filed the same shall be allowed.

Adjourned to 21.03.2023.”

3. It is common ground between learned rival counsel that the petitioner has caused appearance before the concerned Court, in terms of the order dated 09.02.2023 earlier granted in his favour by this Court. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner shall continue to appear before the concerned Court, in accordance with law.

4. In view thereof, the interim order dated 09.02.2023 is made absolute, subject to the petitioner continuing to appear before the trial Court and cooperate for expeditious disposal of the trial accordingly.

5. Petition stands **allowed**.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of Cr. P.C. or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

May 18, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No