



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-6990-2026
Date of decision: 23.04.2026

ANKUSH KUMAR AND ANOTHERPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate and
Mr. Vikram, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed on behalf of Ankush Kumar son of Karam Singh and Sushil Kumar @ Sushil Sunar son of Sambhu Sunar under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 85 dated 04.04.2025, registered under Section(s) 109, 115(2), 118, 351(2), 191(3), 190, 61(2) of BNS, 2023 (Section 238, 118(2), 55(2) of the BNS, 2023 added later on) at Police Station Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

2. After arguing the matter at some length, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the bail petition on

behalf of **co-accused-petitioner-Ankush Kumar**. The same is accordingly **dismissed as not pressed** at this stage.

3. In relation to petitioner-accused Sushil Kumar, he contends that the case of the petitioner would be at par with co-accused Jaskirat Singh to whom concession of regular bail has been granted vide order dated 20.01.2026 passed in CRM-M-56175-2025. He contends that similar allegation of the petitioner being armed with gandasi had been attributed to the said co-accused. He further submits that the petitioner has clean antecedents and no recovery has been effected from him.

4. Learned State Counsel is not in a position to distinguish the case of the petitioner from the case of co-accused Jaskirat Singh to whom concession of regular bail has already been granted.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the present case and extending the principles of parity with co-accused Jaskirat Singh, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the **petitioner-Sushil Kumar @ Sushil Sunar** on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is partly allowed and the petitioner- **Sushil Kumar @ Sushil Sunar** is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner- **Sushil Kumar @ Sushil Sunar** shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

APRIL 23, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No