



CRM-M-6776-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

311

CRM-M-6776-2025

Date of decision: 25.02.2026

PARVEEN KUMAR AND OTHERS**.... PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Yogesh Jangra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Tejal, Advocate for

Mr. Himanshu Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.658 dated 10.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 452 and 506 of IPC at Police Station Gurugram Sadar, Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondent No.2.

The accused, namely Paras, who was declared a juvenile, is not a party to the compromise. He was convicted by Juvenile Justice Board, Gurugram and has been released on probation.

Vide order dated 06.02.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 06.02.2025 passed by the

Co-

**CRM-M-6776-2025**

2

ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram and as per the report dated 17.02.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.658 dated 10.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 452 and 506 of IPC at Police Station Gurugram Sadar, Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

25.02.2026*Kusum*

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>