



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3114-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.02.2026

Joginder Pal and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. A.S. Walia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Arshnoor Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari*, for quashing the speaking order dated 06.01.2026 (Annexure P-9) whereby the respondents (Provident Fund Authorities) have rejected the claim of the petitioners to restore pension on higher wages and further to quash the action of respondents No.2 and 3 reducing the pension of the petitioners and to quash the notices issued to petitioners No.1 and 2 dated 24.04.2023 (Annexure P-6) and dated 3.2.2023 (Annexure P-7) issued by Regional provident Fund Commissioner. Further a writ of *mandamus* has been sought, directing the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (respondent No.3) to release the pension/family pension for the month of January, 2023 onwards to the



petitioners on full wages and pay the arrears of difference of enhanced pension and reduced pension with interest @ 12% per annum and to release it regularly every month instead of pension released on reduced wages.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, at the outset, submits that the order with regard to reduction of pension *qua* petitioner No.3 have been passed which has not been assailed in the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 undertakes to supply the copy of reduction order *qua* petitioner No.3 to learned counsel for the petitioners within a period of 03 days from today.

In the wake of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars by assailing the order of reduction *qua* petitioner No.3 as well.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

11.02.2026

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No