



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2910-2024

Date of decision:-29.01.2026

Mohinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Nitin Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner states that one of the objections raised by the PSPCL – respondents No.2 and 3 is that the petitioner has applied for non-domestic connection, whereas she should have applied for agricultural connection. Counsel submits that at this stage, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to move an application before the PSPCL authorities for an agricultural connection.



238

- 2. Permission is granted.
- 3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

29.01.2026
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No