

CRM-M-6050-2026

2026:PHHC:042330



221
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-6050-2026

Sandeep
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana
.....Respondent

Date of Decision: March 18, 2026
Date of Uploading: March 18, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Priyanka Sadar Thakur, Senior DAG Haryana.
None for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case bearing FIR No.02 dated 07.01.2023, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 323, 379-B, 452 and 506 of IPC, at Police Station Nigdhu, District Karnal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has iterated that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question. Learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner, initially, came to be arrested on 17.01.2023, whereinafter, investigation was carried out and challan stands presented on 13.03.2023. Learned counsel has further submitted that out of total 11 cited prosecution witnesses, 08 have been examined till date. Learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner was admitted to regular bail by the concerned Court on 18.05.2023, whereinafter, he was appearing continuously till September 2025, but the petitioner could not appear thereafter, on account of his illness. Learned counsel has further submitted

CRM-M-6050-2026

that the petitioner surrendered before the concerned Court on 20.12.2025 and is in continuous custody since then. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Raising submissions in tandem with the status report by way of an affidavit dated 08.03.2026, which is already on record, learned State counsel has opposed the present petition by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are serious in nature and, thus, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of the regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record the custody certificate dated 16.03.2026, in the Court today, which is taken on record.

4.1. A perusal of the aforesaid status report reflects that pursuant to the order passed by this Court, the complainant stands informed; however, none has caused appearance on behalf of the said complainant.

5. I have heard counsel for the rival parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. It is not in dispute that the petitioner was granted concession of regular bail on the merits of the case, on 18.05.2023 by the concerned Court. The petitioner continued to appear thereafter, till September 2025, whereinafter, he is stated to have jumped bail. In any case, the petitioner is now in custody since 20.12.2025. In the considered opinion of this Court, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner further in custody.

6.1. As per the custody certificate dated 16.03.2026, filed by learned State counsel, the petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of 06 months and 29 days, & is not shown to be involved in any other FIR(s).

Suffice to say, further detention of the petitioner as an undertrial is not warranted in the factual milieu of the case.

7. In view of above, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, if not required in any other case, on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the

CRM-M-6050-2026

concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell-phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

8. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

March 18, 2026
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No