



CWP-4309-2022 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(216)

**CWP-4309-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.05.2026**

Neeraj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Tarun Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkirat S. Jagdev, Advocate for
Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, Addl. Standing Counsel and
Mr. Mayank Vashishth, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent No.3-U.T. Chandigarh.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for quashing of the impugned order dated 31.05.2019 (Annexure P-7), wherethrough, the respondent No.2, has rejected the claim of the petitioners, to retain their lien on the post of Clerk, for the purpose of pay protection and consequential benefits.

2. At the outset, learned counsel representing the respondents No.1 and 2, appries this Court that they are under process to reconsider the claim of the petitioners, afresh.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are ready and willing to reappear before the authorities concerned.
4. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with liberty to respondents No.1 and 2, to reconsider the claim of the petitioners, and thereupon, pass a speaking order, within a period of eight weeks, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
5. It goes without saying that an adequate opportunity of hearing be afforded to the petitioners, before deciding their claim.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. Pending applications, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

May 08, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No