



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-2796-2026

Date of Decision: 18.03.2026

NIDHI VERMA & ORS.

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Mithun Advocate for
Mr. Abhishek Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Akshit Pathania, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to official respondents to conduct investigation and address their representation dated 19.12.2025.

2. The petitioner No.1 is a minor child. The petitioners No.2 and 3 are her parents. The family is claiming that they have not lodged any complaint with Haryana State Commission for Women (for short 'Commission') alleging sexual harassment still said authority is conducting inquiry and compelling petitioner No.1 to make statement.

3. Learned State counsel submits that Commission initiated inquiry on account of complaint of sexual harassment by few school-going girls which probably included petitioner No.1. If the family does

not want to pursue the matter, the Commission would not bother petitioner No.1.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the instant petition stands disposed of

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

March 18, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No