



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(308)

CRM-M-5912-2026

DATE OF DECISION: 29.04.2026

Akashdeep and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of U.T and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Simranjit Singh , Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Satish Kumar, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.
Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate, for respondents no.2 and 3.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.139 dated 04.09.2025, under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 190, 191(2) and 351(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station West Sector 11, Chandigarh and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 23.01.2026 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Damanpreet Singh.

3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 Damanpreet Singh/complainant and no.3 Harmanpreet Singh/injured, appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.



5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.
6. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondents No.2 and 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.
8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.
9. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and Five Judges Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.139 dated 04.09.2025, under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 191(2) and 351(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station West Sector 11, Chandigarh and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

29.04.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No