



CRM-M-5771-2026

-1-

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5771-2026

Date of Decision:- 08.04.2026

Fameeda @ Fammi @ Femeeda @ Moosia ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner – Fameeda @ Fammi @ Femeeda @ Moosia has filed petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 50 dated 25.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) under Section 123, 127(4), 61, 70(1) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station City Nuh, District Nuh.

2. As per the facts of case, prosecutrix 'S' gave her statement that her parents had a quarrel about 20-25 days ago. Her father who is a truck driver left the house with his truck on same day. He used to return home after one and a half month. On second day, her aunt Zaida and her daughter Moosia came to their house and took her along with them to her maternal uncle's village Bichhor. She stayed there for two days. After about two days, Moosia brought her to Nuh and took her to house of woman namely Haseena. When she was in house of Haseena, her aunt had sent two boys,



whom she did not know. They started living there and raped her every night. She came to know their names as Shahrukh and Tahir. She used to remain unconscious during day time and at night time, she was ravished by aforesaid persons. This process continued for about 8-10 days. Thereafter, she was taken to hospital in Pali Nagla by those persons and was kept there for about 10-12 days, where two different boys did wrongful act with her everyday. For about 10-12 days, Fateh remained with her and there was another boy Mota. Car in which she was taken to hospital was driven by Zakir and other person sitting by his side was Mahender. After spending 10-12 days in Nagla Hospital, she got a chance to escape from there. She returned home and when her father returned, she disclosed him everything. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel representing petitioner argued that allegations levelled against her are false. Co-accused Haseena was granted regular bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh vide order dated 18.08.2025 (Annexure P-2). She was arrested on 26.03.2025 and till date she is behind the bars. Challan is already presented. Statements of complainant/prosecutrix and her father are already recorded as PW-1 and PW-2, which are Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively. Without considering the factual position, her 4th regular bail application was declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh vide order dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-5). She is ready to abide by terms of bail order. Therefore, her regular bail petition may be allowed.



4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State of Haryana. Detailed status report has been filed. After completion of investigation, challan is already presented on 02.06.2025 and charges were framed on 21.07.2025. It is confirmed that victim and her father are already examined. There are specific and serious allegations against petitioner. She played vital role in commission of offence. Considering the specific role and gravity of offence, her regular bail application is already declined four times. It is submitted that regular bail petition filed by petitioner deserves dismissal.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. Facts of case indicate that present petitioner is related to victim. As per facts, present petitioner along with co-accused trapped victim and was being sexually exploited for money. Trial in this case is going on. Till date two witnesses have been examined i.e. victim/prosecutrix and her father as PW-1 and PW-2, copies of which are Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively. It is rightly pointed out that petitioner played vital role in commission of offence. Therefore, considering the aforesaid factual position, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to petitioner and petition filed by her is, accordingly dismissed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

08.04.2026

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No