



CWP-2928-2025

-1-

268/2            IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2928-2025  
Decided on: 26.02.2026

DEEPAK AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONER(S)

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENT(S)

Present:        Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate  
                     for the petitioners.

Dr. Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Sandeep Moudgil, J.(Oral)**

1.                Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 20.01.2025 (P-1) passed by respondent No.4 vide which petitioners have been relieved from service.

2.                Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that present petition be disposed of in terms of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-7437-2025 titled as '*Renu and others vs. State of Haryana and others*', decided on 24.12.2025 wherein similar issue has been adjudicated.

3.                Learned State counsel undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the services of the petitioners was dispensed with shall be withdrawn and they will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioners independently and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

4.                In the light of the assurance tendered by the learned State counsel,



and the concurrence of learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is persuaded that the present writ petition no longer requires adjudication on merits. The impugned relieving order is hereby set aside, and till a fresh decision is taken, the services of the petitioners shall not be dispensed with, and wherever the petitioners have not been permitted to rejoin duties, they shall be taken back forthwith so that their humble means of livelihood are not imperilled and the sustenance of their families are preserved.

5. In case the petitioners have not submitted any representation so far to the State Government or the concerned Head of the Department, the present writ petition shall be treated as representation, and the case shall be decided afresh after taking into account the pleas raised therein, in accordance with law.
6. The respondents shall, with due regard to the dignity of the petitioners and the governing statutory framework, reconsider the case, and pass reasoned orders strictly in accordance with law, in both its letter and spirit, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
7. Until such fresh orders are passed, the continuity of service of the petitioners shall remain undisturbed.
8. In view of above, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

26.02.2026  
sonia

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

|                                |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?            | Yes/No |