



**103+201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-893-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-2536-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Munish Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Federation of Cooperative Sugar Mills
Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Balraj Singh Rathee, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Shukla, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Khatkar, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

Mr. Ishaan, Advocate and
Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

CM-893-CWP-2026

The present application has been filed under Section 151 of CPC
for placing on record Annexure P-32 i.e. the service rules.

In view of the averments made in the application, the same is
allowed and the Service Rules as Annexure P-32 is taken on record.

CWP-2536-2024 (O&M)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles
226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of



CM-893-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-2536-2024 (O&M)

-2-

certiorari for quashing the impugned orders dated 18.01.2024 (Annexure P-17) and 01.02.2024 (Annexure P-18). Further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.1 & 3 to consider the petitioner eligible for the promotion to the post of Chief Engineer.

2. Learned proxy counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 at the outset submits that the present civil writ petition has become infructuous. The petitioner as well as respondent No.4 would be considered for promotion strictly in terms of the applicable rules and needful be done within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

4. Needless to say, in case respondents No.2 & 3 deviates from the statement made before this Court, the petitioner would be at liberty to file an appropriate application under Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiating contempt of Court proceedings against respondents No.2 & 3.

5. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

29.01.2026
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No