



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

281

CRM-M-4972-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.04.2026

Mohit Rana

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Adarshdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ravneet Singh Lekhi, AAG Punjab

Mr. Daanish Mahajan, Advocate for the complainant

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.136 dated 07.09.2023, registered under Sections 406 & 420 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

2. On 09.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the allegations against the petitioner are of having received Rs.40,000/- and introducing the co-accused to the complainant, however, the matter stands compromised between the parties, in pursuance whereof, CRM-M-14320-2026 has been filed seeking quashing of the FIR based on the same. He is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Learned counsel for the complainant affirms the factum of compromise.

Adjourned to 23.04.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 16.04.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Satnam Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner on 13.04.2026 and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 09.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.04.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No