



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-384-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.03.2026

Manohar Sharma

...Petitioner

V/s

Krishan Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges disobedience of order dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-6121-2020, vide which, the impugned order dated 12.08.2026 was set aside and the petitioner was held entitled for computing the counting of total length of service which would include the service rendered by him before being regularized as qualifying service for computing the entitled of leave encashment also and the respondents were directed to recalculate the entitlement of the petitioner for the encashment of leave under the order and grant them the benefit as admissible to him within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

2. At the outset, learned counsel representing the State of Punjab submits that the order has been complied with and requisite payments have been made to the petitioner.

3. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the present contempt petition as no grievance subsists and that

the petitioner shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law, in case any grievance still subsists.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of as not having been pressed. Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 23, 2026
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No