111 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3016-2026
Date of decision: 12.02.2026

Beena Rani ....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K. S. Brar, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Mann, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider and accept the case of the petitioner for pensionary benefits under the Punjab Municipal Employees Pension and Provident Fund Rules, 1994. Further, for directing the respondents to adjust the amount of provident fund along with interest towards the pension contribution as despite opting for the pension as per the rules and for directing the respondents to decide representation of the petitioner dated 10.10.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to invoke alternative appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

12.02.2026
Neha Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

NEHA
2026.02.12 16:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document