



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M No.4970 of 2026
Date of decision : 5.3.2026
Date of uploading : 6.3.2026**

Jashanpreet Singh @ Jashan @ Harjashan Dhillon

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

**Present: Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab**

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 29.1.2026, the following order was passed:

'Present petition has been filed praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.174, dated 03.09.2025, under Sections 249, 253 of BNS, 2023, Sections 25, 27, 54 & 59 of Arms Act (Sections 249(B), 253(B) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Patiala. Further prayer has been made for staying the arrest of the petitioner during the pendency of the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has further submitted that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Gurpreet Singh @ Guri has already been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 13.01.2026 passed in CRM-M-1034-2026.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

To come up on 05.03.2026.

Interim order in the same terms as passed in CRM-M-1034-2026'

Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to



the order dated 29.1.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 29.1.2026. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 29.1.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

5.3.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No