

2026.PHHC:059087



219.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3519-2021

Date of decision: 20.04.2026

Harinder Pal Singh Mahal and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Sangram Singh Saron, Advocate, and
Mr. D.S. Garcha, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the order dated 29.01.2021 (Annexure P-7) and further for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to fill-up the posts of Judicial Members in respondent No.3-State Commission as per the advertisement (Annexure P-1) and as per the recommendations published on 23.12.2019 (Annexure P-2) in terms of Section 16(1-A) of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986.

2. The instant petition has been dismissed as infructuous qua petitioner No.1-Harinder Pal Singh Mahal, vide order dated 12.05.2022, as he has already been appointed as Judicial Member of the State

Commission. The present petition survives only qua petitioner No.2-Balbir Singh.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner No.2 submits that with the efflux of time and in terms of the finding recorded by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in para 95 of the judgment in Civil Appeal No.9982 of 2024 titled as *Ganeshkumar Rajeshwarrao Selukar and others Versus Mahendra Bhaskar Limaye and others*, decided on 21.05.2025, the relief claimed in the instant petition has been rendered infructuous as the petitioner No.2 is going to attain the age of superannuation in the month of June, 2026.

4. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous qua petitioner No.2 as well.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

20.04.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No