



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.110

CWP-2374-2026

Date of Decision: 12.05.2026

Rekha and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Monu Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
assisted by Mr. Harmanjit Singh Johal, Advocate.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to allow the petitioners, who have worked as Group-D employees, to resume work since they have been relieved from service in violation of the policy instructions, dated 07.08.2024 and 15.01.2025, Annexures P-9 and P-10, respectively.

2. Learned State counsel submitted that in case of similarly placed employees a statement was made on behalf of the Government by learned Advocate General that the orders dispensing with their services shall be withdrawn and they would be allowed to continue working till a fresh decision was taken. This is recorded in the order dated 24.12.2025, deciding CWP No.7437 of 2025 titled *Renu and others v. State of Haryana and others*, and 102 connected matters. The relevant extract whereof reads as under:

In the light of the assurance tendered by the learned Advocate General, Haryana, and the concurrence of learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is persuaded that the



present writ petition no longer requires adjudication on merits. The impugned relieving orders are hereby set aside, and till a fresh decision is taken, the services of the petitioners shall not be dispensed with, and wherever the petitioners have not been permitted to rejoin duties, they shall be taken back forthwith so that their humble means of livelihood are not imperiled and the sustenance of their families is preserved.

In case the petitioners have not submitted any representation so far to the State Government or the concerned Head of the Department, the present writ petitions shall be treated as representations, and the cases shall be decided afresh after taking into account the pleas raised therein, in accordance with law.

The respondents shall, with due regard to the dignity of the petitioners and the governing statutory framework, reconsider each case individually, including the question of entitlement to minimum wages, and pass reasoned orders strictly in accordance with law, in both its letter and spirit, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Until such fresh orders are passed, the continuity of service of the petitioners shall remain undisturbed. Further wherever the petitioners have not been paid their salaries, the same shall be released along with arrears for the period they have worked.

- 2.1. Learned State counsel submits that the instant petition may also be disposed of in the same terms.
3. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

12.05.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No