



CRM-M-4652-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-4652-2026
Decided on: 07.05.2026

Napaal Hanjra @ Nepal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.476 dated 09.10.2025, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Krishna Gate, Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 06.02.2026, following order was passed:

“(i) On the very outset, learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 04.02.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

(ii) At this stage, Manvinder Singh Dalal, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and files his memo of appearance in Court today, which is taken on record. He undertakes to file his Vakatlanama in registry in due course of time.

(iii) While referring to the vernacular of the transfer deed dated 08.05.2023 (Annexure P-2/A), learned counsel submits that the petitioner has neither been



shown as an identifier of the seller, namely Ranjodh Singh, nor as an identifier of any other party to the transaction. In fact, his role is reflected only as a witness to the execution of the deed, as he was present in the office at the relevant time. Such presence cannot, by any stretch of imagination, be construed to mean that he acted as an identifier for any of the parties. Moreover, one of the parties to the transfer deed dated 08.05.2023, namely the transferee, is the cousin sister of the petitioner (daughter of his Massi). Therefore, the allegations levelled against the petitioner are wholly unsustainable.

(iv) List again on 07.05.2026.

(v) In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(vi) Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation, and as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

4. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 06.02.2026 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

5. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within



CRM-M-4652-2026

a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

7. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

07.05.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO