

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.054846



(102)

CRM-M-4905-2026
Decided on : 09.04.2026
Uploaded on : 09.04.2026

Akash

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sumit Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate for the complainant.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No. 14 dated 12.01.2026 under Section 316(2), 318(4), 61(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, Distt. Yamunanagar; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 29.01.2026, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the complainant was raising illegal colonies, with regard to which, reference is made to Annexure P3 as also show cause notice issued to the petitioner by the Local Town Planning Department, Annexure P6, on account of fact that there was an agreement to sell between him and the complainant regarding 21 kanals (about 3 acres) for consideration and in return 600 sq. yards plot was also to be given. He is ready to return the earnest money to the complainant, as he does not want to get embodied in litigation with the State. He is not involved in any other case. He

is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate, on behalf of the complainant and made their submissions in opposition.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.02.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS. However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 19.02.2026.”

3. Thereafter, on 19.03.2026, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the rival parties submit that a compromise is likely to be effected soon and some differences, which left out, are required to be ironed out; hence, another opportunity be afforded to the parties to continue with the mediation proceedings.

In view thereof, the parties are permitted to continue with the proceedings before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, on 23.03.2026 23.03.2026 and on any date fixed by the Mediator.

Put up on 09.04.2026 09.04.2026 09.04.2026 along with the report of the Mediator.

Interim order to continue.

Be taken up in the urgent cause list.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files.”

4. Learned counsel for the rival private parties are *ad idem* that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and terms of compromise have been reduced into writing vide compromise deed dated 08.04.2026.

5. In view of the above & in view of the order dated 19.03.2026, the interim order earlier afforded to the petitioner on 29.01.2026 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
6. There is no gainsaying that the rival private parties shall abide by the terms/conditions contained in the compromise deed dated 08.04.2026.
7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

April 09, 2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No