

2026:PHHC:040412

118 (1st case)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5425-2026

Amit alias Amit Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

Rajesh Devi and another

....Respondents

Date of Decision: March 16, 2026

Date of Uploading: March 16, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Rahul Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case COMI/828/2013 titled as "Rajesh Devi versus Sube Singh etc.", under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 of the IPC, pending before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Mahendergarh.

2. On 25.02.2026, the following order was passed:

"Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, the case in hand is one of the criminal complaint, for which, no custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, the petitioner was wrongly declared as a Proclaimed Person and vide order of even date passed in CRM-M-7124-2026, the operation of the order declaring the petitioner as Proclaimed Person has been stayed, the petitioner is willing to appear before the concerned Court and face trial, in accordance with law.

*The petitioner is directed to cause appearance before the concerned trial Court on or before **05.03.2026**, and in case, he does so, the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the said Court.*

*Put up on **16.03.2026**.*

To be heard along with CRM-M-7124-2026.

Be taken up in the urgent cause list."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has caused appearance before the concerned Court, on 27.02.2026, whereinafter, he has been admitted to interim bail.

4. Office note indicates that respondent No.2 stands served, but none has caused appearance on behalf of said respondent.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record; especially the petitioner having caused appearance before the concerned Court, this Court deems it appropriate to confirm the interim order dated 25.02.2026, and the same is made absolute accordingly, subject to the petitioner continuing to appear before the concerned Court, in accordance with law.
6. Petition stands allowed.
7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

March 16, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No