



CRM-M-5000-2026 (O & M)

::1::

(208) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5000-2026 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 26.02.2026

Apoorva Goyal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate,
and Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.0379 dated 12.09.2025 under Sections 318(4), 316 (5), 336 (3), 338, 340, 61(2) BNS, Sections 7, 13(1)(B) of the Prevention of Corruption Act registered at Police Station Bahadurgarh (Sections 61(1) BNS, Sections 7, 13(1)(B) of the Prevention of Corruption Act added later on).

2. The brief facts of the case are that Apoorva Goyal (petitioner) was working as Senior Manager and was looking after the work of sanctioning of loans. She opened the accounts in the names of several customers by forging documents, got transferred the loan amounts in their accounts and thereafter, transferred the said amounts to the accounts controlled by her.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The allegations levelled against her are baseless. As she is in custody since 13.09.2025 but

**CRM-M-5000-2026 (O & M)**

::2::

none of the 35 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, she is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned State counsel, on the other hand, has filed a reply dated 24.02.2026 which is taken on record. While referring to the said reply, he contends that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are grave in nature. He, however, does not dispute that the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2025 and none of the 35 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2025 and none of the 35 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Apoorva Goyal is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

February 26, 2026
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable :- Yes/No