



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-4586-2026
Date of Decision : 20.02.2026

PRABJOT SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY


Present: Mr. D. S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed by petitioner, a co-accused in case bearing FIR No.289 dated 22.08.2025 registered against him at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad, u/s 140(3), 305 of BNS.
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Virender, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not needed for further investigation.
3. On 28.01.2026, following order was passed.

“Learned counsel submits that name of the petitioner surfaced based on the disclosure statement of co-accused Arun, however, no overt act has been attributed to him. As a matter of fact, he was not even present at the spot and there was no CCTV footage to demonstrate the same. He is ready to even handover his mobile and that of his mother and location can be traced which shall show that he was not at Tohana on the intervening night of 21/22.08.2025. Co-accused Malkeet Singh and Ravi Kumar who were also implicated based on the



disclosure statement, have been granted anticipatory bail vide orders dated 10.12.2025 and 22.12.2025, Annexures P2 and P3. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 04.02.2026 and handover his mobile and that too of his mother. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 20.02.2026.”

- 4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 28.01.2026 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
- 5. The petition stands allowed.
- 6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

20.02.2026
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No