



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.106

CM-1869-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-2907-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.02.2026

Krishan Kumar and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
assisted by Mr. Harmanjit Singh Johal, Advocate.**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)**

With the consent of the parties, the main petition is taken on Board today itself.

2. The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularise the petitioners' services, who are statedly working as Sweepers in different Government Primary Schools.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period in light of the judgment in *Jaggo* case *ibid*. All relevant particulars of the applicants' service which entitle them to the claimed benefits will be mentioned therein.

4. Notice of motion.



5. Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, assisted by Mr. Harmanjit Singh Johal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by respondent no.2-Director, Secondary Education, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law by taking into consideration the judgment in *Jaggo* case *ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.

6. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

11.02.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No