



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 737 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.05.2026**

Indro and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners-landowners.

Mr. Abhinav Kalia, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

CM-2195-CII-2023

Application for exemption from filing the certified copy of order dated 05.09.2022 passed by respondent No. 2- Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Department, Haryana, Hisar, is **allowed** subject to all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

By way of present revision petition, challenge has been made to an order dated 05.09.2022 passed by respondent No. 2-Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Department, Haryana, Hisar (**for short “LAC”**), whereby the objections preferred at the instance of petitioners in terms of Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (**hereinafter referred to as “the Act”**), were dismissed being barred

by limitation without even referring the same to the learned Reference Court.

[2] In the present case, certain land owned by the predecessor-in-interest of the petitioners came to be acquired vide notifications dated 29.08.2005 & 29.08.2006 issued under Sections 4 & 6 of the Act respectively; followed by Award No. 1 dated 03.08.2007 passed under Section 11 of the Act by the LAC. The acquisition was carried out for the public purpose, namely, *“development of residential and commercial Sectors-3, 5 & 6 at Hansi (Hisar)”*. Being aggrieved, the objections under Section 18 of the Act preferred at the instance of petitioners on 01.07.2022 were declined being barred by limitation by the LAC on 05.09.2022. Hence, the present revision petition.

[3] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

[4] Upon perusal of the record, it can be discerned that at the time of passing of the impugned order, no finding has been recorded by respondent No. 2-LAC as to whether any notice under Section 12(2) of the Act was ever served upon the petitioners-landowners. It has nowhere been recorded that either the landowners or any of their representatives were present at the time of passing of the award by the LAC on 03.08.2007. Further, there is no finding in the impugned order that a copy of award dated 03.08.2007 was ever served upon the landowner(s).

[5] Admittedly, even till date not even a single penny in terms of the aforesaid Award dated 03.08.2007 has been released either to the petitioners or even their predecessor-in-interest. In such circumstances, the impugned order dated 05.09.2022, being unsustainable in the eyes of law, is hereby set aside. Respondent No. 2-LAC is directed that the objections preferred at the instance of petitioners be referred to the competent Court for its decision as per law. The needful exercise be done within two months from the date of receiving such objections by the learned Reference Court concerned.

[6] **Disposed of** accordingly.

[7] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

May 21, 2026
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No