



CRM-M-5284-2026 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

277

CRM-M-5284-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.03.2026

MOHIT VERMA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Sumeet, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.401 dated 19.09.2025 under Sections 85 and 316(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023 Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 12.01.2026.
2. On 31.01.2026, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 12.01.2026.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridkot on 11.02.2026. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Thana Singh was recorded on 27.02.2026. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridkot has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:



CRM-M-5284-2026 (O&M)

“(A). Sh. Parteek Sagar Maini, Advocate, suffered statement that:-

“I produce and identify complainant party namely Komal.”

(B). Complainant party Komal suffered statement as under:-

“Stated that I have compromised with the accused in the above said FIR.

- 1. There are one accused in this FIR namely Mohit Verma. FIR was registered on my statement. Except me there is no other complainant or aggrieved party in this case.*
- 2. No accused is P.O in this FIR. All the affected persons are party to present petition in Hon'ble High Court.*
- 3. The compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence with free consent. I have no objection if FIR is quashed against the accused.*
- 4. Challan has yet to be presented in the present case.”*

“(C). Accused Mohit Verma suffered statement that:-

“Stated that accused has compromised with opposite party. The compromise is voluntary with free consent.

- 1. There is one accused i.e. me in this case. Me and complainant are party to the compromise. I am not declared as PO in this FIR. All the affected persons are party to present petition in Hon'ble High Court.*
- 2. The compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence with free consent.*
- 3. I am not involved in any other case.*
- 4. Challan has yet to be presented in the present case.”*

(D) Investigating Officer ASI Thana Singh No. 793/FDK, P.S City Faridkot suffered statement as under:-

“Stated that I am the Investigating Officer of this case.

- (i). There is one accused in this FIR namely Mohit Verma and who has appeared before the trial court and made his statement.*
- (ii). No additional accused has been added during investigation.*
- (iii). No accused is proclaimed offender in this FIR.*
- (iy). No offence was added or deleted during investigation after registration of FIR.*



CRM-M-5284-2026 (O&M)

- (v). *There is only one complainant/aggrieved in this FIR namely Komal and she has appeared before the court and made her statement.*
- (vi). *Challan in the present FIR is yet to be presented in the court.*
- (vii). *No other criminal case is pending against the accused.”*
4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.
5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the petition is allowed.
6. FIR No.401 dated 19.09.2025 under Sections 85 and 316(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023 Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioner, on the basis of compromise dated 12.01.2026.
7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

10.03.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No