

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-4959-2026 (O & M)****Date of decision: 16.04.2026****SAKSHI WADHWA AND OTHERS**

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Veer Imaan Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of the complaint dated 29.01.2021 titled as 'Rajiv Kumar Versus Rajinder Kumar Wadhwa and others' bearing CIS No. COMA-50-2024 (CNR No. PBPTA-00408-2021) dated 29.01.2021 registered under Sections 323, 352, 420, 382, 452, 504, 506 read with Section 120-B IPC and Sections 66-A and 66-C of Information Technology Act, 2000 and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 28.08.2025 (Annexure P-4).

Vide order dated 02.02.2026 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 02.02.2026 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-4).

In terms of the orders dated 02.02.2026 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura and as per the report dated 25.03.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the complaint dated 29.01.2021 titled as 'Rajiv Kumar Versus Rajinder Kumar Wadhwa and others' bearing CIS No. COMA-50-2024 (CNR No. PBPTA-00408-2021) dated 29.01.2021 registered under Sections 323, 352, 420, 382, 452, 504, 506 read with Section 120-B IPC and Sections 66-A and 66-C of Information Technology Act, 2000 and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 16, 2026
vishal

VISHAL SETHI
2026.04.17 10:42
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No