



226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA-381-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29-01-2026

NARESH KUMAR**.....Appellant**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**.....Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated 07.12.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP-27420 of 2023, by which the writ petition for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to supply the first copy of the complete jamabandi/revenue record, preferred by the appellant, has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he only requires copies of certain documents, whereas the learned Single Judge has already passed the order dated 07.12.2023 to seek the same under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the only apprehension of the appellant is that in case such an application is filed, the compliance of the same may be delayed; hence, the present appeal has been preferred. However, in case a direction is given to the respondent-State that

if any such application is filed by the appellant under the RTI Act, 2005 to obtain the original record along with permission to inspect the record, the same ought to be supplied without any delay, present appeal will not be pressed.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case any such application is filed for grant of the original record along with permission to inspect the record under RTI Act before the concerned authorities, the same will be complied with in accordance with law, and appropriate action will be taken within a period of **30 days** from the receipt of the same.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that, keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further, with liberty to approach the respondents for supply of the documents under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

29-01-2026
Sapna Goyal

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO