



177-8

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No. 1941 of 2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2026

Daryao Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Komal Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Short prayer made on behalf of the petitioner-landowner is for release of annuity benefits in accordance with the award dated 11.09.2012 passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, Sonapat.

[2] Upon notice, no reply has been filed on behalf of the respondent(s)-State of Haryana. However, learned State Counsel adopts the reply as filed in identical controversy bearing **CWP-32050-2025**, titled **“Raghbir Versus State of Haryana and others”**.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present matter is squarely covered by the judgment dated **28.11.2025** passed by this Court in **CWP No. 33780 of 2024** titled as **“Bijender Singh and ors. Versus State of Haryana and ors.”**

[4] Learned counsel for the respondent(s)-State of Haryana is not in a position to controvert the above factual position.

[5] After going through the judgment passed by this Court referred to above as well as the facts of the present case, this Court agrees with the assertion of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

[6] Consequently, the present writ petition is **disposed off** in the same terms as ***Bijender Singh’s case (supra)***.

[7] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

February 24, 2026
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>