

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

INCOMP-1065-2026

Date of Decision: 05.05.2026

SUDHANSHU SEKHAR NAYAK

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEERJA K. KALSON

Present: None.

NEERJA K. KALSON J. (ORAL)

1. The aforesaid petition was filed on 22.01.2026 on behalf of the petitioners by Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate. However, certain objections were raised by the Registry.
2. Office note perused.
3. As per **Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders**, the petition, on which, objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/petitioner(s), who filed it, to re-file within a period of 40 days. However, the same was not taken back for refilling after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
4. Even after the publication of the note requesting the Advocate/petitioner to collect this case for re-filing after rectification of objections, the needful was not done. Consequently, the matter has been listed before this Court in urgent list today.
5. No representation on behalf of the petitioner.
6. In view of the facts of the matter, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record room.

05.05.2026

Atul

**(NEERJA K. KALSON)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |