



CR No. 818 of 2026 (O&M -1-

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 818 of 2026 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 27.03.2026**

MAHAJAN CLOTH HOUSE

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

**BHAWANI SHANKER ENTERPRISES THROUGH ITS PROP.
SMT. MAMTA BHARNI AND ANOTHER**

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Raghav Soni & Mr. Manish Kansra, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is for setting aside the impugned order dated 20.12.2025 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar, in Civil Suit No. 3418 of 2019, titled as '*Bhawani Shankar Enterprises through its Proprietor vs. Mahajan Cloth House and others*', whereby the learned trial Court has closed the evidence of the petitioner-defendants.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the contesting respondent No. 1—Bhawani Shankar Enterprises, through its proprietor (plaintiff), has filed a suit for recovery of ₹5,74,312/- along with interest against the present petitioner and proforma respondent No. 2 (defendants) (Annexure P-2). Upon notice, the present petitioner appeared through its counsel and filed the written statement (Annexure P-3). Issues were



CR No. 818 of 2026 (O&M -2-

framed. Respondent No. 1–plaintiff closed its evidence on 18.11.2025 and thereafter, the case was fixed for the evidence of the defendants. The impugned order dated 20.12.2025 (Annexure P-1) reveals that the present petitioner had availed several effective opportunities to conclude its evidence; however, the petitioner failed to do so and, as such, the evidence of the petitioner was closed by order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that since valuable rights of the petitioner–defendant are involved in getting the suit decided, the petitioner may be granted two effective opportunities to conclude its evidence.

4. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is of the opinion that issuance of notice to respondent No. 1–plaintiff would unnecessarily delay the proceedings. Accordingly, issuance of notice to the contesting respondent No. 1–plaintiff is dispensed with.

5. Keeping in view the above and particularly considering that valuable rights of the petitioner are involved, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the petitioner an opportunity to conclude its evidence. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 20.12.2025 (Annexure P-1) is set aside. The petitioner is directed to appear before the learned trial Court on the date already fixed, whereupon the learned trial Court shall grant the petitioner two effective opportunities to conclude its entire evidence.

6. However, it is made clear that the aforesaid relief is subject to payment of costs of ₹10,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the District Legal Services Authority, Amritsar. A copy of the receipt



CR No. 818 of 2026 (O&M -3-

evidencing deposit of costs shall be produced before the learned trial Court.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

MARCH 27, 2026

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

nitin

JUDGE

Whether Speaking

Yes

Whether Reportable

No