



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(291)

CRM-A-190-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 17.03.2026

Anil Trading Company

.....Applicant

VERSUS

Gurnaib Singh @ Bitta

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Vivek Singla, Advocate, for the applicant-appellant.
None for respondent.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'Cr.P.C.') against the judgment of acquittal dated 30.11.2023 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Barnala, in a complaint case filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, after considerable discussion and comparative interpretation of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions. Reliance in this regard can also be placed on *Satish Kumar Versus Jugal Kishor* in *CRM-A-2700-MA-2018* decided on **02.07.2025**. Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Directorate of Revenue Intelligence Vs. Raj Kumar Arora in 2025 INSC 498; 2025 SCC Online 819* has clarified that as a rule of thumb, judgments rendered shall be applicable retrospectively.



CRM-A-190-2024 2

3. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge concerned with a direction to treat the same as filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and to dispose of by himself/herself or entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.
4. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge concerned forthwith.
5. Disposed of accordingly.

17.03.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No