



101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5597-2026
Date of Decision:- 10.04.2026

RAJ KARAN ...Petitioner
Vs.
UT OF CHANDIGARH ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

There is no representation on behalf of petitioners. Even on the previous dates i.e. 06.03.2026 and 17.03.2026 none had appeared for the petitioner and counsel was notified through Registry.

Despite intimation through Registry on email, counsel has not turned up. It appears that counsel for the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present petition.

As such, petition stands dismissed in default and for want of prosecution.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

10.04.2026
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No