



108-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7582-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.02.2026

Tarsem Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Surjit Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 02.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present:- Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate for

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the decree in the present cases has been executed and thus, the present petitions filed by the judgment debtors are infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for a short adjournment to get instructions in the matters.

Adjourned to 05.02.2026.

To be taken up in the urgent list.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.



February 02, 2026”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the abovesaid statement made by the respondent, the present revision petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the same in case any cause survives in future.

3. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

05.02.2026

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No