



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

244

CWP-1981-2026

Date of Decision: 24.02.2026

ASI ANIL KUMAR NO 58/PANIPAT

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Teevar Sharma, DAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of orders whereby he has been ordered to retire upon attaining the age of 55 years.
2. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner vide order dated 23.02.2026 passed by the Additional Director General of Police, Karnal Range, Karnal has been granted extension beyond the age of 55 years, thus, petition has rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the afore-stated fact.
4. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

24.02.2026

SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No