



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

147

CR-639-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.04.2026

KASHMIR SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Vs.

SADHU RAM AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr Nitin Jain, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4(ii).

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate and
Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.7, 8 & 9(i).

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4(ii) and filed Power of Attorney and Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate and Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate have put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.7, 8 & 9(i) and filed Memo of Appearance. The same are taken on the record.

2. The present revision petition has been filed for setting aside order dated 09.10.2025 and 13.01.2026 vide which the right of filing the objections in execution have been closed and warrants of possession have been issued against the petitioners, respectively.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that learned Executing Court, without appreciating the fact that the application for setting aside the *ex parte* order was still pending before the Court and without



decision on the application, has closed the right of the petitioners to file objection and warrant of possession was issued against them.

4. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the respondents contends that petitioners were proceeded against *ex parte* on 08.12.2022 and no application for setting aside the *ex parte* order was filed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the whole file with their able assistance.

6. A perusal of impugned order shows that petitioner, who is defendant No.9(ii), was proceeded against *ex parte* on 08.12.2022 and even the application, which is placed on record as Annexure P-4, is undated. Even in para No.6 of the petition, it is stated that the application is dated 08.12.2022, whereas perusal of Annexure P-4 shows that it is undated but the year is 2025. Therefore, a perusal of whole file shows that the petitioners cannot even file the present revision petition for setting aside orders wherein they did not appear and not even filed any application.

7. In view of the same, I do not find any infirmity in orders dated 09.10.2025 and 13.01.2026. The same are upheld. Accordingly, the present revision petition is ***dismissed***.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

29.04.2026

P. Seth

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No