



CRR-192-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRR-192-2026  
Date of Decision: 21.04.2026

Mahender Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

Bhagat Ram .....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Tudhjot K. Sidhu, Advocate  
Mr. Balsher Singh, Advocate and  
Mr. Shubham Mirok, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Satyam Khichi, Advocate for  
Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA J.**

|                                        |                                                                                                         |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Criminal Case before trial Court       | NACT 67 of 2016 under Section 138 of NI Act<br>CNR No. HRSIB1-000573-2016<br><br>Decided on: 10.05.2019 |
| Criminal Appeal before Appellate Court | CrI. Appeal No. 200 of 2019<br>CNR No. HRSI010073392019<br><br>Decided on: 17.01.2026                   |

| Convict's name | Penal provision | Sentence                                            |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Mahender Singh | 138 of N.I. Act | SI for 06 months with compensation of Rs.4,20,000/- |

Seeking setting aside of impugned judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 10.05.2019 passed by SDJM, Ellenabad convicting the petitioner and affirmed by Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, the petitioner had come up before this Court by filing the present revision petition.



CRR-192-2026

2. Counsel for the petitioner on instructions from the petitioner submits that he would be contented and satisfied if the sentence is reduced to the period already undergone by the petitioner in the complaint captioned above and he does not want to argue the revision petition on merits. She further submits that the complaint is of the year 2016 and the petitioner is facing criminal prosecution from the last 10 years which itself is traumatic and the petitioner has no other criminal antecedents.

3. Counsel for the complainant strongly opposes such prayer. State counsel has handed over custody certificate of the petitioner dated 20.04.2026, as per which the appellant has already undergone a period of 03 months and 08 days out of sentence of 06 months.

4. Given the submission made by counsel for the petitioner and complainant and after going through the record of the case and considering the custody of 03 months and 08 days vis-a-vis the amount involved, I am of the considered opinion that ends of justice would be met if the order of conviction is affirmed and sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him i.e. 03 months and 08 days.

5. With the aforesaid observations, petition stands disposed of. Judgment of conviction is affirmed and order of sentence is modified and sentence is reduced to the period already undergone by the petitioner. The jail authorities are directed to release the petitioner immediately, if he is not required in any other case. Complainant has all the legal rights to recover the compensation amount and the petitioner cannot dispute the legal rights of the complainant to recover the compensation amount. All pending applications, if any also stand disposed of.

6. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order and any Advocate for the convict can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.*

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

21.04.2026  
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: No.