

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

256

CWP-1922-2026
Date of Decision:09.03.2026

RAM DULAR

VERSUS

...PETITIONER

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Manoj Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Inderpal Singh Parmar, Advocate
for respondents No.8 and 9.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Inderpal Singh Parmar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.8 and 9 and has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. He has also placed on record a copy of communication dated 05.03.2026 addressed to petitioner, whereby intimation has been given that after marking a lien of Rs.26,499.70 (i.e. Rs.1499.70 and Rs.25,000/- on account of two different cyber complaints), petitioner has been permitted to operate the account.
2. In view of the above, writ petition has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

09.03.2026
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No