

2026:PHHC:065474



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

275

Date of decision: 29.04.2026

CRM-M-3698-2026 (O & M)
RAJINDER KUMAR @ RAJINDER MUNIM
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB

CRM-M-4119-2026
KOMALPREET SINGH
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB

CRM-M-6767-2026
G.S. SANDHU ALIAS GURJANT SINGH SANDHU
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Harish Rana, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-3698-2026.

Mr. U.K.Agnihotri, Advocate and
Mr. Anuj Y. Attri, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-6767-2026.

Mr. Saurav Rao, Advocate for
Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-4119-2026.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. All these petitions have been filed for grant of anticipatory
bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.07 dated 05.01.2026, registered at
Police Station Civil Lines, Batala, District Gurdaspur, under Sections 108,
351(2) BNS, 2023.

2. On 11.03.2026 (in CRM-M-3698-2026) and 27.04.2024, this Court had passed the following orders:-

“Petitioner, who is accused in case FIR No.07 dated 05.01.2026 registered against him, for commission of offences punishable under Sections 108, 351(2) of BNS at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Gurdaspur, has filed the instant petition, praying for grant of pre-arrest bail.

Status report dated 10.03.2026 by way of affidavit of Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division City Batala, Police District Batala, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the previous detailed order dated 22.01.2026 passed by this Court and submission advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

Adjourned to 17.04.2026.”

27.04.2024

“Learned State Counsel submits that petitioner Rajinder Kumar @ Rajinder Munim did not join the investigation till date in compliance of order dated 11.03.2026 and as regards the other petitioners, they have no orders in their favour to join the investigation.

In view of the above, learned counsel for petitioner Rajinder Kumar @ Rajinder Munim prays for one more opportunity to join the investigation in compliance of order dated 11.03.2026; whereas the counsel for the remaining petitioners submit that the allegations against them are similar to that of petitioner Rajinder Kumar @ Rajinder Munim and thus they pray that petitioners namely Komalpreet Singh and G.S. Sandhu be also allowed to join investigation.

In view of the above, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation on 28.04.2026 and cooperate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/ Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join or cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required

by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, the interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 29.04.2026.

Interim order to continue.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”

3. Learned counsel submit that in pursuance of the aforementioned orders, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. They further submit that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Narjit Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petitions filed by the petitioners are allowed and the orders dated 11.03.2026 (in CRM-M-3698-2026) and 27.04.2024 granting interim bail to them, are hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

7. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file(s).

29.04.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No