



**161 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3653-2026
Date of decision: 12.03.2026**

KAMLESH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate and
Ms. Sangeeta Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shiv K. Bhola, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.686 dated 21.12.2017 under Sections 148/149/323/452/506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 325 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City Narnaul and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.01.2026 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Naresh.

3. On notice of motion, respondent Nos.2 to 4 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq



Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent Nos.2 to 4 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.686 dated 21.12.2017 under Sections 148/149/323/452/506 of IPC (Section 325 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City Narnaul and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of



Rs.10,000/- as cost, to be deposited with Indian Red Cross Society having Account No.3578152035, Central Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh, IFSC CBIN0280412.

10. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 12.04.2026, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

March 12, 2026
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No