



CRM-M-5850-2026 (O & M)

::1::

(211)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5850-2026 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 28.04.2026

Shravan Kumar Rajbhar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Himmat Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

On oral request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, Section 323 IPC is added along with the other Sections already mentioned in the Head-note as well as prayer clause of the main petition.

2. The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.03 dated 02.01.2024 under Sections 302, 323 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement of the main accused-Amrit Rajbhar. Taking the prosecution case to be correct, only fist and kick blows have been attributed to the petitioner-Shravan Kumar Rajbhar. As the petitioner is in custody since 11.07.2024 but only 11 out of the 25 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be

**CRM-M-5850-2026 (O & M)**

::2::

concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, while referring to the reply dated 17.04.2026 which is taken on record contends that Amrit Rajbhar who is duly named in the FIR has specifically named the petitioner as one of the assailants. Therefore, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner do not entitle him to the concession as prayed for. He, however, concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 11.07.2024 and only 11 out of the 25 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 11.07.2024 but only 11 of the 25 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Shravan Kumar Rajbhar is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 28, 2026

Vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable :- Yes/No