



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-8622-CWP-2026,
CM-8623-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-2300-2020
DECIDED ON: 26.05.2026**

RAMESH CHANDER

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Nihal S. Choudhary, Advocate and
Ms. Anita S. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAv L)

CM-8623-CWP-2026

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Annexures A-1 & A-2 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CM-8622-CWP-2026

Prayer in this application is for fixing the actual date of hearing in the main petition.

Notice in the application.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG. Haryana, having served with an advance copy of the application, has put in appearance and endorsed no objection, in case the present application is allowed.

Considering that the petition was adjourned *sine die* to await the decision in SLP No. 27394 of 2018 arising out of *Yogesh Tyagi vs. State of Haryana*, and that the Supreme Court has finally decided the issue raised therein, while considering a bunch of connected cases vide judgment rendered in *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*, in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024 decided on 16.04.2026 (Annexure A-1), the present application deserves to be allowed.

Accordingly, the application is allowed.

Main case is taken on board.

Main case

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 of the Constitution India for quashing the impugned order dated 07.10.2019 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondents No.2 vide which the claim for regularization of the services of the petitioner as per policy dated 29.07.2011 & 20.06.2014 (Annexures P-3 & P-4) has been rejected.

The petitioners claim to be covered under the policy dated 29.07.2011 & 20.06.2014 (Annexures P-3 & P-4) as well as by the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024 titled *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*, along with a bunch of connected appeals.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection to the aforesaid proposal put forth by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, Respondents-Competent Authority, is directed to examine the claim for regularization of the petitioner and adjudicate the same in light of the principles laid down in *Madan Singh's case* (supra), after affording an

opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and by passing a speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from receipt of certified copy of this order. A copy of the speaking order so passed shall be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

It is further clarified that all the pleas raised in the present petition and the grounds taken therein shall be duly considered at the time of adjudication of the petitioners' case before passing the speaking order.

The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

26.05.2026
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No